

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/055,344	KIM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Patricia Leith	1654	

**All Participants:**

(1) Patricia Leith.

(2) Attorney Joseph A. Kolasch.

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 11 January 2005

**Time:** approx. 4pm EST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*1 and 7*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**


*Discussed the term 'herb medicine' in claim 7 and the lack of antecedent basis with this term. Attorney Kolasch agreed to an examiner's amendment which included 'herb medicine' in claim 1 in order to correct the antecedent problem.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)